

Impact Assessment in Italy: State of the Art and Patterns of Regulatory Reform

Maria Francesca Rocchetti
Director General
Office for Legal Analysis and Regulatory Quality
Department for Legal and Legislative Affairs (DAGL)
Presidency of Council of Ministers – ITALY

Directors and Experts of Better Regulation Meeting,
Rome, 18th-19th September 2014


Summary of contents

1. The introduction and the development of IA in Italy
2. IA in legislative rulemaking: main features
3. Identifying strengths and weaknesses in the current practice
4. Patterns of reform

The introduction and the development of IA in Italy

- 1999-2000: IA is formally introduced, as a **pilot project**, by a directive of the Prime Minister and fairly detailed guidelines
- 2005: Application of IA is extended to cover **both primary and secondary regulations** by Article 14 Law No. 246/2005
- 2008: IA is **regulated** by the decree of the Prime Minister No. 170/2008
- 2011: **SME test** and **admin burden assessment** included in IA
- 2012-2013: a **consultation** on IA reform is carried out
- 2013: The IA template is revised a the directive of the Prime Minister Directive on how to prevent and assess **gold-plating** and simplification of IA template

- IA has to be provided **for any proposal of acts submitted to the Council of Ministers** (both primary and secondary regulation), except for those -expressly excluded by IA regulation or for which an exemption has been decided
- Responsibility to carry out IAs lies with the ministries
- The Department of legislative affair (**DAGL - Presidency of the Council of Ministers**) is responsible for managing the process, defining methodologies and verifying the quality of IAs
- Ministries are asked to elaborate IA results on the basis of a **unique template**
- Our main efforts so far: ensuring **compliance** with IA regulation and spreading IA methodologies



Strenghts

- ❑ The number of IAs over the last years is significant. This shows that there is a **high level of compliance with the obligation of carrying out IA**
- ❑ The use of the IA template is homogeneous across the ministries
- ❑ Technical meetings between DAGL and Ministries to analyse periodically the contents of IAs and their possible improvements are a good practice
- ❑ IA contents: clear description of the problem to be solved through a new regulation; identification of general and specific objectives; description of the main benefits of the proposal

Weaknesses 1/2

- ❑ Despite the high level of compliance with the formal obligation, **the quality of IAs needs further improvement:**
 - ✓ Often, IAs do not properly consider and compare a variety of options
 - ✓ Poor assessment of costs and benefits (apart for public authorities), especially in quantitative terms
- ❑ The number of IAs is high in relation with available **resources** and **timing** of the law-making process.
- ❑ IA process starts **too late**

Weaknesses 2/2

- ❑ Frequent **exemptions of decree-law** (“decreto-legge”), governmental acts adopted for necessity and urgency reasons, excludes from IA measures which have a significant impact
- ❑ **Public consultation**: the number of consultations carried out by administrations increased significantly in the last two years. Nonetheless, consultation practices are quite inhomogeneous among administrations.

A significant level of compliance with the obligation concerning IA has been achieved. Now the quality of IAs should be improved

- Closer connection between IA planning and the Government legislative **agenda**
- Reinforcing a **cycle approach** to regulatory assessment (ex ante and ex post)
- **Selection** of proposals of regulation/legislation that require IA, based on the expected impact
- **Proportionality** in the use of IA: focus on the most strategic assessments
- Identification of a specific **IA for decrees-law**
- Definition of general **standards on consultation** , to increase IA **transparency**

Public consultation on IA reform:

Phase 1

Consultation of selected experts,
academic researchers and professors

Phase 2

A public consultation was carried out on the
Government website